John Lyde, Plaintiff,

Thomas Rodd, an Attorney of the Court of Queens-Bench, Defendant.

Upon a Writ of Error in Parliament, to Reverse a Judgment of the said Court of Queens-Bench given against the Plaintiff, in a Special Action upon the Case.

(The Plaintiff's C A S E.)

Judgment enter'd up against the Plaintiff, 14 Maii, 4 Anna Reg.

17 Feb. 1704. Dismis'a 29 June, 1706.

Bill filed by the Plaintiff a-Court of Queens Bench,

Term, 8 Anna Reg.

HE Defendant without any Authority, Consent or Privity of the Plaintiff, baving caused an Appearance to be Enter'd in the Plaintiff's Name, and Confess'd a Judgment thereupon, in an Action of Debt of 100% brought against the Plaintiff in the Court of Common-Pleas, at the Suit of one Hugh Rodd; did afterwards in like manner, without the consent or knowledge of the Plaintiff, exhibit in the Plaintiff's Name a Bill in Chancery, praying Relief against the said Judgment: Which Bill was afterwards dismis'd with Costs, and Bill in Chancery exhibited, the Plaintiff, to his great surprize, by Process serv'd upon him in the County of Hereford where he lived, compell'd to pay for the Costs of such Dismission the Sum of 28 1.

For Recovery of which Money against the Defendant, who had Commenced and Profecuted the faid Suit, without any Authority from the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff filed a Bill in the Court of Queens-Bench against the Defendant, being one of the

gainst the Defendant in the Attorneys of that Court, and laid his Action in Herefordsbire, where he fustain'd his Damages,

To which the Defendant appear'd, and pleaded the General Islue, submitting to a Tryal of the Action by a Jury of Trin. Term 8 Anna Reg. the County of Hereford; and accordingly the Cause was Tryed at Hereford, before Mr. Justice Powell, where after a full Desence made by the Desendant, and near 20 Witnesses Examin'd on both sides, the Plaintiff having proved his Declaration, and it appearing plainly to the Jury, that the Proceedings in Chancery were had and carried on by the Verdict given for the Plain. Defendant Road, without the Plaintiff's Confent or Privity, a Verdict was found for the Plaintiff, and 281. Damages, tiff, 20 Aug. 8 Annæ Reg. and 40s. Cotts affeff d against the Descendant.

The Plaintiff hoped to have had the Benefit of the faid Verdict, but upon a Motion in Arrest of Judgment, the Court Judgment Arrrested, Mich. of Queens-Bench hath given Judgment against the Plaintiff; the Action, as they judged, being only Tryable by a Jury in Middlesex, the Court of Chancery (tho' it be Transitory and not Local) being then held in Middlesex, and the Proceedings by which the Damages accrued being had there. Whereby the Plaintiff is debarr'd of the faid Verdict, and his Costs and Damages affeised by the Jury, after so solemn a Tryal had in the County where both Parties lived, and where the Defendant's deceitful Contrivance was, and where the Plaintiff's Damages were sustain'd, and so alledg'd to have been in the Declaration.

And therefore the Plaintiff hath brought a VVrit of Error for Reverfing the faid Judgmont, and hambly hopes, and is advised, that the said Judgment is Erroneous.

First, For that the Plaintiff's Cause of Action arising in two Counties, viz. the Defendant's Contrivance and affual Damages to the Plaintiff, being in the County of Hereford, and the Exhibiting of the Bill in the County of Middlefex, the Plaintiff, as he humbly conceives, and is advised, was at liberty to bring and try his Action in either County; and that by the strictest Rules of the Common Law, and after a Verdict obtained, which is of great Esteem and Favour in the Law, as supplying by the Proofs, and by the finding of the Jury many things that would otherwise be taken for Defects, and be intended against the Plaintiff, barely upon his Declaration, Judgment ought not to be Arrested or given against him

Secondly, But should there be any doubt of the Clearness of the Plaintiff's Case at Common Law, yet he humbly hopes, that be is entitled to the aid (if wanting) of the several Statutes passed for the Amendment of the Law, particularly the 16 and 17 Car. II. cap. 8. which enacts, that after a Verdict Judgment shall not be stayed; For that there is no right Venue; fo as the Cause be Tried by a Jury of the proper County or Place where the Action is laid. And of the 4 and 5 of Annæ Reg. cap. 16. whereby it is Provided, That the Judges shall give Judgment (even in Cases upon a Demurrer) ascording as the very Right and Matter in Law Shall appear unto them, without regarding any Imperfection, &c. of any Declaration, &c. fo as sufficient Matter appear in the said Pleadings upon which the Court may give Judgment, according to the very RIGHT of the Caufe.

For which, and other Reasons humbly to be Offer'd, 'tis hoped this most Honourable House will Reverse the said Judgment of the Court of Queens-Bench with Costs, and give such other Judgment for the Plaintiff as the Court of Queens-Bench ought to have given: For in case the Plaintiff should be put to a new Action in Middlesex, the expence of a Tryal there, and bringing his Witnesses out of Hereford shire, would be too great for his Circumstances to bear, and he must sink under his Missortune, and lose all the Charges he has been at hitherto. And so an Attorney who by his unwarrantable Proceedings hath Ruin'd the Plaintiff and his Family, which consists of a Wife and six Children, will escape unpunish'd.

Abel Ketelbey, 70seph Girdler, Jun.